Southeast Uplift Neighborhood Coalition
Board Meeting
Monday, February 3rd, 2014 – 7-9pm
3534 SE Main Street – Fireside Room

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
<th>Info</th>
<th>Disc</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:00</td>
<td>Welcome Introductions Approve Minutes</td>
<td>Robert McCullough</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:05</td>
<td>PBOT Budget Process, report, discussion of priorities</td>
<td>Linda Nettekoven</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:20</td>
<td>Land Use Update and call for representative to serve on committee working on building design issues along SE Division</td>
<td>Bob Kellett</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:35</td>
<td>Knock Knock Update, Invitation to upcoming event</td>
<td>Ashe Urban</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:50</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00</td>
<td>ED report: ONI Budget Process Update, Parks Committee Update, Wikman Building Update, new NA fiscal sponsorship program and forms</td>
<td>Anne Dufay</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:20</td>
<td>Report on Land Use Hearing on Demolition</td>
<td>Robert McCullough</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30</td>
<td>Neighborhood Updates/Open forum</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:55</td>
<td>Announcements/New Business</td>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>Adjourn</td>
<td>Robert McCullough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dear Neighborhood Coalition Parks Committee members,

Thank you for your volunteerism and advocacy for our parks. For those that have been doing this for years, I truly appreciate your diligence and commitment to Portland’s parks. For those who are just getting started with a parks committee, I appreciate your willingness to commit to this process. I think you will find it rewarding and worthwhile.

Today you will see an announcement about our initial investment in East Portland parks. It is exciting news and it’s a long time coming. East Portland continues to be the most park deficient area of town, with two out of five households lacking access to a park or natural area thirty years after annexation.

The investments being announced today are not the entirety of the money we will be spending from System Development Charge revenues over the next few years. I will continue to work with each one of the coalitions on your parks priorities. Over the next months, I will make additional announcements about investments in every coalition area. Your continued input ongoing will be very important.

If you haven’t yet sent me a list of your priorities, please send them to Tim Crail at tim.crail@portlandoregon.gov. You are always welcome to contact me directly as well at Amanda@portlandoregon.gov

Sincerely,

Amanda Fritz
Anne,

Apologies for the delay in getting you a response on this proposed letter. We’ve now looked at the letter, HB 3469 and the Oregon Health Authority’s (OHA’s) temporary rules for regulating medical marijuana dispensaries. For the reasons set forth below, we would recommend that the neighborhood association consider not sending a letter at this time, or sending a revised version of the proposed letter in the form of a notice to the businesses and OHA only.

- Under the new law, every dispensary must register in order to operate. The registration begins March 3, at which time the Oregon Health Authority (OHA) will evaluate each dispensary for its ability to register. As part of the registration process, the OHA will presumably review the location of the dispensary under the guidelines of the new law. Because the OHA has the authority to take action with respect to these dispensaries and the neighborhood association does not, we think it makes sense for the neighborhood association to hold off on communicating with the dispensaries until the OHA has had the opportunity to implement the new registration process. If, once the registration process is in place, the neighborhood association has concerns that the process has not adequately addressed its concern, they could follow up with the OHA directly.

- The broad distribution list included on the proposed letter is an additional point of concern. The administrative rules governing medical marijuana distribution include strict confidentiality limitations meant to protect the employees and customers of the dispensary facilities. Under these rules, “the name of a PRF (Person Responsible for a Facility) is confidential and not subject to disclosure without a court order.” Although this provision technically applies to the OHA, disseminating the identity of these PRFs to parties other than the OHA could pose problems for the OHA before the OHA has had a chance to implement the new registration system.

- From a relationship standpoint, the neighborhood association has an interest in maintaining its relationship with both the dispensaries and the OHA. Even if these dispensaries have to relocate, they will likely remain in the neighborhood, and the association may find that they want or need to work with these businesses as issues arise in the future. Allowing the OHA to address the registration requirements now may serve the association well for dealing with these businesses at a later date. With respect to the OHA, the association may need assistance from them if the registration process does not accomplish what the association is hoping it will, or, again, if issues arise in the future. We don’t know
whether the association has had any interaction with the OHA to this point, but the OHA would likely appreciate the opportunity to implement its registration process before the dispensaries are approached by third parties. If they haven’t already done so, the association might contact the OHA to better understand the process and determine whether they think additional action would be necessary or useful at this time.

If the association feels strongly about sending a letter to these businesses, we would recommend that the letter take the tone of a notice, simply letting the businesses know about the registration process and location requirements. We would also recommend that the notice letter be sent to the business and the OHA only, and that the additional parties (landlord, mayor, etc.) not be copied on the correspondence. We would be happy to provide a revised notice letter for the association’s consideration, but we would first want to run a conflicts check on all of the parties to which the association intends to send the notice.

Please let me know if you have questions or would like to discuss. We’re happy to chat at your convenience.

Gina

Gina K. Eiben | Perkins Coie LLP
PHONE: 503.727.2059
Jeff West called the meeting to order at 7:05.

Presentation: Franklin High School Redesign. Anyone interested in following this process can sign up for updates on the Franklin High School website.

Kelly Fedderson updated the board on our new Creative Engagement Grant.

Break

Presentation by Allan Vogt for S. Tabor, N. Tabor, Montavilla and Friends of Mt. Tabor Park asking for the board’s support for Parks funding for the multiuse path to access Mt. Tabor Park at 64th and Division. The general consensus of the board was in favor of this use of Park’s funds.

Presentation on Demolition Notice issues, Tony DeLano and Bob Kellett. The board is interested in learning more about this issue, and would like to hear from DEQ. Staff will pursue.

Bob Kellett requested a board member to serve on the Powell/Division Metro Planning Committee. Bill Crawford volunteered.

Adjourned 9:00 pm

Next meeting 2/3/2014